PTO/SB/21 (08-03)

Actinger the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are requ			Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE quired to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.			
			Application Number		10/797,990	
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date		March 11, 2004		
FORM		First Named Inventor		Raymond J. Archacki, Jr.		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Unit		2667		
			iner Name	Qureshi, Afsar M.		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5			ey Docket Number	210_657		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form Drawin		g(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		nsing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply				Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
Provisi		n to Convert to a onal Application		Proprietary Information		
		of Attorney, Revocation e of Correspondence Address		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		al Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Return Mailroom Postcard; and Certificate of Express Mailing		
Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 03-0835.				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Wall Marjama & Bilinski LLP						
Individual name Dana F. Bigelow Reg. No. 26,441						
Signature						
Date February 1/4, 2006						
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  Thereby certify that this common date is being described with the United States and the Common date is being described with the United States and the Un						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service using the Express Mail Post Office To Addressee service per 37 CFR 1.10 under Express Mail No. EV554213152US addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 14, 2006.						
Typed or printed name Christine M. Holpres						
Signature	Date February 14, 2006					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Eractitioner's Docket No.: 210\_657 PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of: Raymond J. Archacki Jr.

February 14, 2006

Serial No.: 10/797,990 Art Unit: 2667

Filed: March 11, 2004 Examiner: Qureshi, Afsar M.

Confirmation No.: 5162

For: METHOD FOR ENHANCING BROADCAST MESSAGE

**COMMUNICATIONS** 

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 1 hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 14, 2016, under "EXPROSS MAIL" mailing labor number EX 514213152US

Christine M. Holmes

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

Claims 1, 7-10 and 12 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harris (U.S. Patent 6,842,461). Claims 15 and 19-20 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris. Claims 2-6, 11, 13-14 and 16-18 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris in view of Sinclair (U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0073461).

In response, the applicants have again reviewed those references and believe that the claims are patentably distinctive thereover for the reasons to be discussed hereinbelow.

The present system is directed to a system wherein information is transmitted in a broadcast message to a number of remote devices. It is anticipated that some of those devices will not receive the message or even recognize that it was transmitted. In order to identify those remote devices that did not receive the message, there is a two step process. First, those that did receive the message send an acknowledgement message, and then all the rest are presumed to have not received the message and a copy of the broadcast message is sent out to those remote devices by a mode other than broadcasting. Thus, the system does not rely on a negative